

**RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION  
OF THE COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT**

**Resolution Number: 24-**

**Record Number: PLN-12312-CUP**

**Assessor's Parcel Number: 214-233-002-000**

**Resolution by the Planning Commission of the County of Humboldt certifying compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and conditionally approving the Organic Humboldt, LLC, Conditional Use Permit.**

**WHEREAS**, Organic Humboldt, LLC provided an application and evidence in support of approving a Conditional Use Permit for an existing 37,428 square feet (SF) outdoor and 22,000 SF of mixed light commercial cannabis cultivation operation; and

**WHEREAS**, the lead agency, prepared an Addendum to the Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) prepared for the Commercial Medical Land Use Ordinance (CMMLUO) adopted by the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors on January 26, 2016. The proposed project does not present substantial changes that would require major revisions to the previous Mitigated Negative Declaration. No new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not be known at the time was presented as described by §15162(c) of CEQA Guidelines; and

**WHEREAS**, the Humboldt County Planning Commission held a duly-noticed public hearing on January 18, 2024 and reviewed, considered, and discussed the application for a Conditional Use Permit and reviewed and considered all evidence and testimony presented at the hearing.

**Now, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Planning Commission makes all the following findings:

- 1. FINDING:**                    **Project Description:** A Conditional Use Permit request for 37,428 square feet (SF) of existing outdoor and 22,000 SF of existing mixed light commercial cannabis cultivation, for a total of 59,428 SF of commercial cannabis cultivation. Irrigation water is sourced from two permitted groundwater wells. Existing water storage is 162,000 gallons in hard tanks. The estimated annual irrigation water need is 261,900 gallons. Drying and processing will occur onsite in existing facilities. Power is provided by a generator and a recommended condition of approval will be to require electricity to be sourced from renewable sources by January 1, 2026.

**EVIDENCE:**    a) Project File: PLN-12312-CUP

- 2. FINDING:**                    **CEQA.** The project complies with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act. The Humboldt County Planning Commission has considered the Mitigated Negative Declaration

previously adopted for the Commercial Medical Marijuana Land Use Ordinance as well as the Addendum to the Mitigated Negative Declaration that was prepared for the project pursuant to Section 15164 of the CEQA guidelines.

- EVIDENCE:**
- a) Addendum prepared for the proposed project.
  - b) The proposed project does not present substantial changes that would require major revisions to the previous MND. No new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not be known at the time was presented as described by §15162(c) of CEQA Guidelines.
  - c) A Water Resource Protection Plan (WRPP) showing compliance with the State Water Board requirements for Waste Discharge for cannabis cultivation. Conditions of approval require the applicant to adhere to and implement the recommendations of the WRPP and maintain enrollment with the State Cannabis Cultivation Policy for the life of the project.
  - d) The project is for pre-existing cultivation. The nearest spotted owl activity center is approximately 1.13 miles to the northwest and additional owl activity centers are further from the site. Use of the generator is subject to the standard condition limiting noise to 50dB at 100 feet from the generator or at the edge of the nearest forest habitat, whichever is closer. As proposed and conditioned, the project is consistent with CMMLUO performance standards and CDFW guidance and will not negatively affect the northern spotted owl or other sensitive species.
  - e) A Road Evaluation report was prepared for the project. According to the report, the first 3.5 miles of this road complies with Category IV road standard (18-20 feet) or meets the same practical effect due to the location and frequency of existing shoulders and turnouts. The remaining 1.3 miles to the property are generally single lane with segments of passing points and do not meet a road category 4 equivalent. According to the engineering analysis, given the steep terrain it does not appear to be feasible to improve the roadway, nor does it appear necessary given the low volume and the fact that the cannabis operation is not proposing to add any vehicle trips to the roadway beyond the existing baseline. The engineer recommends a Neighborhood Traffic Management Plan to be implemented in order to safely utilize the roadway. The Management Plan would include posting weekly road usage for the operation at the main branch fork of Wood Ranch Road. Adherence to this plan is recommended as a condition of approval to this project. A recommended condition will

also require formation of a Road Maintenance Association and contribution to the annual maintenance of Wood Ranch Road .

### **FINDINGS FOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT**

**3. FINDING:** The proposed development is in conformance with the County General Plan, Open Space Plan, and the Open Space Action Program.

**EVIDENCE:** a) General agriculture is a use type permitted in the Timberland (T) land use designation. The proposed cannabis cultivation, an agricultural product, is within land planned and zoned for agricultural purposes, consistent with the use of Open Space land for managed production of resources. The use of an agricultural parcel for commercial agriculture is consistent with the Open Space Plan and Open Space Action Program. Therefore, the project is consistent with and complimentary to the Open Space Plan and its Open Space Action Program.

**4. FINDING:** The proposed development is consistent with the purposes of the Agricultural Exclusive (AE) and Timber Production Zone (TPZ) zoning in which the site is located.

**EVIDENCE:** a) The AE zone and the TPZ are intended to be applied to areas of the County in which primary uses include the growing and harvesting of timber and timber production facilities, including portable processing equipment. Compatible uses other than the direct growing, harvesting, and portable processing of timber include grazing and other agricultural uses.

b) All accessory agricultural uses are principally permitted in the AE and TPZ.

c) Humboldt County Code section 314-55.4.8.2.2 allows cultivation of up to 43,560 square feet of existing outdoor cannabis cultivation and up to 22,000 square feet of existing mixed-light commercial cannabis on a parcel over 1 acre subject to approval of a Conditional Use Permit and a determination that the cultivation was in existence prior to January 1, 2016. The application for 59,428 SF square feet of cultivation on a 192-acre parcel is consistent with this and with the cultivation area verification conducted by staff.

d) The Site Plan demonstrates that all structures meet or exceed the setbacks of the AE and TPZ zoning districts.

**5. FINDING:** The proposed development is consistent with the requirements of the CMMLUO Provisions of the Zoning Ordinance.

- EVIDENCE:**
- a) The CMMLUO allows existing cannabis cultivation to be permitted in areas zoned TPZ (HCC 314-55.4.8.2.2).
  - b) The parcel was created in compliance with all applicable state and local subdivision regulations, as it was created as part of patent 3037, Book 4, Pages 67-3, 1877.
  - c) Irrigation water will come from two (2) groundwater wells, an eligible water source that has been evaluated and determined by staff to have no hydrologic connection to surface water nor will impact public trust resources.
  - d) A Road Evaluation Report found the access roads to be functionally appropriate for the expected traffic given the applicant implements the recommend of the engineer to create a Neighborhood Traffic Management Plan in order to safely utilize the roadway.
  - e) The slope of the land where cannabis will be cultivated is 15% to 30 % on existing flats and where the slop exceeds 15%, cultivation will be relocated to environmentally superior locations on the project parcel, as specified in the Justification for Onsite Relocation.
  - f) The cultivation of cannabis will not result in the net conversion of timberland.
  - g) The location of the cultivation complies with all setbacks required in Section 314-55.4.11.d. It is more than 30 feet from any property line and more than 600 feet from any school, church, public park, or Tribal Cultural Resource.

**6. FINDING:** The continued cultivation of 59,428 square feet of existing cannabis cultivation and the conditions under which it may be operated or maintained will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare or materially injurious to properties or improvements in the vicinity.

- EVIDENCE:**
- a) A Road Evaluation Report found the access roads to be functionally appropriate for the expected traffic given the applicant implements the recommend of the engineer to create a Neighborhood Traffic Management Plan in order to safely utilize the roadway.
  - b) The site is in a rural part of the County where the typical parcel size is over 40 acres and many of the land holdings are very large. The

proposed cannabis will not be in a location where there is an established neighborhood or other sensitive receptor such as a school, church, park, or other use which may be sensitive to cannabis cultivation. Approving cultivation on this site and the other sites which have been approved or are in the application process will not change the character of the area due to the large parcel sizes in the area.

- c) Irrigation water will come from two (2) groundwater wells, an eligible water source that has been evaluated and determined by staff to have no hydrologic connection to surface water nor will impact public trust resources.
- d) Provisions have been made in the applicant's proposal to protect water quality and thus runoff to adjacent property and infiltration of water to groundwater resources will not be affected.

**7. FINDING:** The proposed development does not reduce the residential density for any parcel below that utilized by the Department of Housing and Community Development in determining compliance with housing element law.

**EVIDENCE:** a) The parcel was not included in the housing inventory of Humboldt County's 2019 Housing Element but does have the potential to support one housing unit. The approval of cannabis cultivation on this parcel will not conflict with the ability for a residence to be constructed on this parcel.

**8. FINDING:** Approval of this project is consistent with Humboldt County Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 18-43 which established a limit on the number of permits and acres which may be approved in each of the County's Planning Watersheds.

**EVIDENCE:** a) The project site is in the South Fork Eel Planning Watershed, which under Resolution 18-43 is limited to 730 permits and 251 acres of cultivation. With the approval of the project the total approved permits in this Planning Watershed would be 245 permits and the total approved acres would be approximately 58.93 acres of cultivation.

## DECISION

**NOW, THEREFORE**, based on the above findings and evidence, the Humboldt County Planning Commission does hereby:

- Adopt the findings set forth in this resolution; and
- Conditionally approves the Conditional Use Permit for Organic Humboldt, LLC subject to the conditions of approval attached hereto as Attachment 1.

Adopted after review and consideration of all the evidence on **January 18, 2024**.

The motion was made by COMMISSIONER \_\_\_\_\_ and second by COMMISSIONER \_\_\_\_\_ and the following ROLL CALL vote:

AYES:           COMMISSIONERS:  
NOES:           COMMISSIONERS:  
ABSENT:       COMMISSIONERS:  
ABSTAIN:       COMMISSIONERS:  
DECISION:

I, John Ford, Secretary to the Planning Commission of the County of Humboldt, do hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and correct record of the action taken on the above-entitled matter by said Commission at a meeting held on the date noted above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
John Ford, Director  
Planning and Building Department