

ADDENDUM TO THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

MOSER FINAL MAP SUBDIVISION PROJECT

***APNs 511-461-015, 511-461-016, McKinleyville area,
Humboldt County
SCH# 2007052016***

DRAFT

**Prepared By
Humboldt County Planning and Building Department
3015 H Street, Eureka, CA 95501**

December 2018

Background

Project Description and Project History - The project involves a Final Map Subdivision for the creation of 12 commercial lots within the Airport Business Park. The lots will be created from the two Remainder Parcels from the original subdivision and range in size between 30,310 and 61,230 square feet. The parcels will be served by community water and sewer.

Purpose - Section 15164 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) provides that the lead agency shall prepare an addendum to a previously adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) if some changes or additions are necessary but none of the conditions described in Section 15162 calling for a subsequent MND have occurred. Section 15162 states that when an MND has been adopted for a project, no subsequent MND shall be prepared for that project unless the lead agency determines, on the basis of substantial evidence in the light of the whole record, one or more of the following:

1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project which require major revisions of the previous MND due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects;
2. Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous MND due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or
3. New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) was certified as complete, shows any of the following: A) the project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous MND; B) significant effect previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous MND; C) mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or D) mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous MND would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

Summary of Significant Project Effects and Mitigation Recommended

No changes are proposed for the original project's recommended mitigations.

Other CEQA Considerations

Staff suggests no changes for the revised project.

EXPLANATION OF DECISION NOT TO PREPARE A SUPPLEMENTAL MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

See **Purpose** statement above.

In every impact category analyzed in this review, the projected consequences of the current project proposal are either the same or less than significantly increased than the initial project for which the Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted. Based upon this review, the following findings are supported:

FINDINGS

1. The proposed project divides the two Remainder parcels of the Airport Business Park into 12 commercial lots. The nature of the project does not trigger any new environmental impacts that were not previously discussed. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Tribal Cultural Resources were not analyzed as part of the original MND, however, they were identified in the staff report and it was found that the mitigation measures adopted with the original project will continue to apply.
2. The circumstances under which the project was approved have not changed substantially. There are no new significant environmental effects and no substantial increases in the severity of previously identified effects.
3. For the project there has been no new information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous MND was adopted as complete. Furthermore, it is concluded that: the current project will not have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous MND. Also, significant effects previously examined will not be substantially more severe than shown in the previous MND. There are no mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible that would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project. Finally, there are no mitigation measures or alternatives identified in this analysis which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous MND, and which would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment.

CONCLUSION

Based on these findings it is concluded that an Addendum to the adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration is appropriate to address the requirements under CEQA for the current project proposal. All of the findings, mitigation requirements, and mitigation and monitoring program of the MND are applicable to the current project proposal.

APPENDICES

MOSER SUBDIVISION PROJECT

- Appendix A. Humboldt County Planning Commission Resolution Adopting the Mitigated Negative Declaration
- Appendix B. Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration

APPENDIX A

Humboldt County Planning Commission Resolution Adopting the Mitigated Negative Declaration

APPENDIX B

Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration