HSOM

HUMBLE SERVANTS OF THE MATTOLE 600 F STREET STE. 3 #223 ARCATA, CA 95521 Fmail bsom16@botmail.com

Email: hsom16@hotmail.com 707-683-6686

SUBMITTAL AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Humboldt County Planning Department acknowledges submittal of the documents described below to the C-POD unit for cannabis permit application.

Permit Application No: 1194	9
APN: 222-083-007	Date:July 6, 2018
Description of Documents Suc	bmitted:
Notes: Hand Delivered to Humboldt County Planning Department	County Date Stamp



HSOM

HUMBLE SERVANTS OF THE
MATTOLE 600 F STREET STE. 3 #223
ARCATA, CA 95521
Email: hsom16@hotmail.com
707-683-6686

SUBMITTAL AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Humboldt County Planning Department acknowledges submittal of the documents described below to the C-POD unit for cannabis permit application.

Permit Application No: 1194	19
APN: 222-083-007	Date:July 6, 2018
Description of Documents Su Road Evaluation	bmitted:
Notes: Hand Delivered to Humboldt County Planning Department	County Date Stamp Humpoldt County HULL HUMPOLDT HUMP

HUMBOLDT COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS ROAD EVALUATION REPORT

PART A:	Part A may be	e completed by the applicant			
Applicant Na	me: Bure	ROAD LLC	APN:	222-083-007	
Planning & I	Building Depa	artment Case/File No.:C	UP16-465	MINISTER AND	
Road Name:	OID Son	-ERUINE CREEK POA	🔼 (complete a sep	arate form for each road)	
From Road (Cross street):	Beiceland Thorn	e Roam		
To Road (Cre	oss street):	OID Briceland		,	
Length of roa	ad segment:	1.9	miles	Date Inspected 7/6/2018)
Road is main Check one of	tained by:			State Park, BLM, Private, Triba	l, etc)
Box 1	The entire r	oad segment is developed to		tandards (20 feet wide) or better, thout further review by the appli	
Box 2	The entire road segment is developed to the equivalent of a road category 4 standard. If checked then the road is adequate for the proposed use without further review by the applicant.				
	width, but h one-lane bra visibility wh	as pinch points which narro idges, trees, large rock outcr ere a driver can see oncomi	w the road. Pinch coppings, culverts, ng vehicles throug	idway that is generally 20 feet in points include, but are not limite etc. Pinch points must provide h the pinch point which allows the of the road for the other vehicles.	ed to, he
Box 3 🗹	may or may		the proposed use	of road category 4 or better. The and further evaluation is necessate State of California.	
The statemen measuring the		are true and correct and have	re been made by m	e after personally inspecting and 7/6/2018 Date	
Signature				Date	
Name Printe	TEVE Do	pyle			



PART B: Only complete Part B if Box 3 is checked in Part A. Part B is to be con Engineer licensed by the State of California. Complete a separate form for each road	
Road Name: OID Somewille Creek Bandate Inspected: 7/1/2018	APN: 222-033-007
From Road: OID Bricelant Road (PM_1)	Planning & Building Department Case/File No.:
To Road: OID Somerville Cheek Road (PM 1.9)	CUP16 - 465
1. What is the Average Daily Traffic of the road?	
ADT: 41 Date(s) measured: 7/5 \$ 7/6 2018	
Method used to measure ADT: Counters Estimated using ITE <i>Trip Gener</i> Is the ADT of the road less than 400? Yes No	ation Book
-	- 11-
If YES , then the road is considered very low volume and shall comply with th outlined in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Of Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads (ADT ≤40 and 3 below.	fficials (AASHTO)
If NO , then the road shall be reviewed per the applicable policies for the desig streets presented in AASHTO policy on Geometric Design of Highways and S as the Green Book. Complete section 3 below.	
2. Identify site specific safety problems with the road that include, but are not limited AASHTO Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads (AD	
A. Pattern of curve related crashes. Check one: ✓ No. ☐ Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations.	
B. Physical evidence of curve problems such as skid marks, scarred trees, or sca Check one: No. Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations.	rred utility poles
 C. Substantial edge rutting or encroachment. Check one:	
D. History of complaints from residents or law enforcement. Check one: No. Yes (check if written documentation is attached)	
E. Measured or known speed substantially higher than the design speed of the rocheck one: No. Yes.	oad (20+ MPH higher)
F. Need for turn-outs. Check one: No. Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations.	
3. Conclusions/Recommendations per AASHTO. Check one: The roadway can accommodate increased traffic from the proposed use. The roadway can accommodate increased traffic from the proposed use if the attached report are done. (check if a Neighborhood Traffic Management Plan is also require. The roadway cannot accommodate increased traffic from the proposed use. address increased traffic.	ed and is attached.)
A map showing the location and limits of the road being evaluated in PART B is attached. The statements in PART B are true and correct and have been made by me after personally evaluating the road.	n Iz-Tan
Signature of Civil Engineer Date	
J:\PWRK\FORMS\Road Evaluation Report Form (6-10-16) .docx	Marsian Division

Road Evaluation for Old Briceland Road and Old Somerville Creek Road Completed on July 6, 2018 by Steve Doyle @ Six Rivers Construction & Consulting California Contractors License # 1031712

Briceland Thorne Road has a single lane road at PM 1.4 with early warning signs and K-Rail up to prevent any accidents. PM 5.7 is turnoff to Old Briceland Road Old Briceland road is in terrible condition with pot holes consuming the road surface but vehicles only travel to PM .1 to get to Old Somerville Creek Road Old Somerville Creek Road is a privately ran road that has shared cost from all the residents. Old Somerville Road varies in width from 16'-20' wide with multiple areas to turnout and allow passing. The roadway consists of gravel with small sections of the road being dirt. The road has been out-sloped and rolling dips have been installed.PM 1.9 is the driveway to Burr Road, LLC.



NG 62:2 '81/9/7

