



COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT

AGENDA ITEM NO.

G-3

For the meeting of: June 7, 2011

Date: June 3, 2011

To: Board of Supervisors

From: Carolyn Crnich, County Clerk, Recorder & Registrar of Voters

Subject: Redistricting

RECOMMENDATION(S):

That the Board of Supervisors receive and file this report, provide staff with direction as necessary, and direct staff to prepare for a public hearing at a specified date on the recommended supervisorial district lines.

SOURCE OF FUNDING:

General Fund.

DISCUSSION:

Supervisorial Districts are based on population and State and Federal law require that boundaries of these districts be adjusted after each federal census. The process must be completed by November 1, of the year following the census. The 2010 Census data show significant growth in the Second and Fifth Supervisorial Districts. As shown in attachment A, Humboldt County population for the year 2010 totals 134,623. The ideal population for each supervisorial district is 26,925 (\pm 2.5%). The data show the Second Supervisorial District with the highest population of

Prepared by

Carolyn Crnich

CAO Approval

Phillip Smith-Haines

REVIEW:

Auditor

County Counsel

WBC

Personnel

Risk Manager

Other

TYPE OF ITEM:

Consent

☒ Departmental

Public Hearing

Other

PREVIOUS ACTION/REFERRAL:

Board Order No. _____

Meeting of: _____

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT

Upon motion of Supervisor

Seconded by Supervisor

Ayes

Nays

Abstain

Absent

and carried by those members present, the Board hereby approves the recommended action contained in this Board report.

Dated: _____

By: _____

Kathy Hayes, Clerk of the Board

29,503, 9.57% higher than the ideal population. The First Supervisorial District has the lowest population of 25,231, -6.29% lower than the ideal population. In order to achieve the ideal population in each district, precincts from the Second and Fifth Supervisorial Districts would need to be moved in order to increase the totals for the First, Third and Fourth Supervisorial Districts.

The legal requirements concerning supervisorial boundaries are set forth in the Elections Code.

Section 21500 Following each decennial federal census, and using that census as a basis, the board shall adjust the boundaries of any or all of the supervisorial districts of the county so that the districts shall be as nearly equal in population as may be and shall comply with the applicable provisions of Section 1973 of Title 42 of the United States Code [Voting Rights Act], as amended. In establishing the boundaries of the districts the board may give consideration to the following factors: (a) topography, (b) geography, (c) cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity, and compactness of territory, and (d) community of interests of the districts.

Section 21500.1 The board shall hold at least one public hearing on any proposal to adjust the boundaries of a district, prior to a public hearing at which the board votes to approve or defeat the proposal.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

Staff time in creating official boundaries and maps, reproduction of maps, and notification to voters impacted by boundary changes.

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT:

The Community Development Department has been assisting Elections staff with Census information displayed in the county's GIS program.

ALTERNATIVES TO STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Direct staff to look at other areas.

ATTACHMENTS:

- A – Census Population by Supervisorial District
- B – Current and Proposed Populations of Supervisorial Districts
- C – First District Detail
- D – Second District Detail
- E – Third District Detail
- F – Fourth District Detail
- G – Fifth District Detail

While not attached, precinct maps as they currently exist can be viewed at <http://gis.co.humboldt.ca.us/Freeance/Client/PublicAccess1/index.html?appconfig=podgis4>

CENSUS POPULATION BY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT Years 2010, 2000 & 1990

2010 Census County Population	Supervisory District Ideal Population	Census Population by District	% of Ideal Population	Relative Deviation	Absolute Deviation
134623	26925	First	93.71%	-6.29%	-1694
		Second	109.57%	9.57%	2578
		Third	95.41%	-4.59%	-1235
		Fourth	96.21%	-3.79%	-1021
		Fifth	105.09%	5.09%	1370
Range within $\pm 2.5\%$ 26252 - 27598					

2000 Census County Population	Supervisorial District Ideal Population	Population After Redistricting	% of Ideal Population	Relative Deviation	Absolute Deviation
126518	25304	First	95.90%	-4.10%	-1037
		Second	105.49%	5.49%	1390
		Third	97.98%	-2.02%	-512
		Fourth	97.81%	-2.19%	-553
		Fifth	102.81%	2.81%	710

1990 Census County Population	Supervisorial District Ideal Population	Population After Redistricting	% of Ideal Population	Relative Deviation	Absolute Deviation
119118	23824	First	97.48%	-2.52%	-601
		Second	105.15%	5.15%	1226
		Third	98.33%	-1.67%	-399
		Fourth	102.51%	2.51%	599
		Fifth	96.53%	-3.47%	-827

FIRST DISTRICT DETAIL

	Population	Ideal Population	Difference	Deviation
Current:	25231	26925	-1694	-6.3%
Proposed:	26759	26925	-166	-0.6%

Add to First Dist:			
2FS-2	13		
2FS-4	320		
2FSL2	50		
2MU	0		
2SH-1	287		
2SHF1	258		
2SHR1	693		
2SHVF	214		
3ES-7 (ptn S of Harris St)	445		
total added:	2280		
Subtract From First Dist:			
1E-35	-425		
1E-55 (ptn N of Harris)	-327		
total subtracted:	-752		
Net Change:	1528		
TOTAL:	26759		
Difference From Ideal:	166	Under Ideal	-0.6%

SECOND DISTRICT DETAIL

	Population	Ideal Population	Difference	Deviation
Current:	29503	26925	2578	109.57%
Proposed:	27238	26925	313	101.16%

Add to Second District:			
None			
Total Added:	0		
Subtract From Second Dist:			
2FS-1	-215		
2FS-2	-13		
2FS-4	-320		
2FS-5	0		
2FSL2	-50		
2MU	0		
2SH-1	-502		
2SHF1	-258		
2SHR1	-693		
2SHVF	-214		
total subtracted:	-2265		
TOTAL:	27238		
Difference From Ideal:	313	Over Ideal	1.16%

THIRD DISTRICT DETAIL

ATTACHMENT E

	Current Population	Ideal Population	Difference	Deviation
Current:	25690	26925	-1235	-4.6%
Proposed:	26822	26925	-103	-0.4%

Add to Third Dist:	
5A-P1	0
5AS-4	0
5AS-5	61
5AS-6	86
5AS-7	49
5AS-8	0
5AS-9	135
5AS10	0
5FB	45
5FBS	818
5PA-3	8
5PA-3A	0
5PAE	0
5PAS	135
total added:	1337
Subtract From Third Dist:	
3ES-7 (ptn N of Harris St)	-205
total subtracted:	-205
TOTAL:	26822
Difference From Ideal:	103 Under Ideal
	-0.4%

FOURTH DISTRICT DETAIL

ATTACHMENT F

	Current Population	Ideal Population	Difference	Deviation
Current:	25904	26925	-1021	-3.8%
Proposed:	26861	26925	-64	-0.2%

Add to Fourth Dist:	
1E-55 (N of Harris St)	327
1E-35	425
3ES-7 (N of Harris St)	205
total added:	957
Subtract From Fourth Dist:	
total subtracted:	0
TOTAL:	26861
Difference From Ideal:	64 Under Ideal
	-0.2%

FIFTH DISTRICT DETAIL

ATTACHMENT G

	Current Population	Ideal Population	Difference	Deviation
Current:	28295	26925	1370	5.1%
Proposed:	26958	26925	33	0.1%

Add to Fifth Dist:			
total added:	0		
Subtract From Fifth Dist:			
5A-P1	0		
5AS-4	0		
5AS-5	-61		
5AS-6	-86		
5AS-7	-49		
5AS-8	0		
5AS-9	-135		
5AS10	0		
5FB	-45		
5FBS	-818		
5PA-3	-8		
5PA-3A	0		
5PAE	0		
5PAS	-135		
total subtracted:	-1337		
TOTAL:	26958		
Difference From Ideal:	33	Over Ideal	0.1%