

**Re: Monofilament Netting Memo**

---

**From** Brittany Massaro <[brittany@humboldtlogistics.co](mailto:brittany@humboldtlogistics.co)>

**Date** Mon 9/23/2024 3:46 PM

**To** Slavey, Collin <[cslavey@co.humboldt.ca.us](mailto:cslavey@co.humboldt.ca.us)>

**Caution:** This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Okay .

Cost is the biggest driver. 4-700 for 3500 feet vs \$90 for 150 ft. It is industry standard nationwide . Oregon , CO, Oklahoma , Washington all permit the use . The cost per lb is so low that all inputs need to be feasible at scale for cost to produce. It's used in almost all other agricultural production , maybe not as extensive for some. It's more sterile and better for quality control then jute netting which allows decaying and decomposition of organic materials cause more mold than usual for the farmer , meaning more loss .

Most of the problem is stewardship. Most people giving monofilament a bad name are non licensee holders or producers who do not use best management practices on site . A fine should be allocated before permit suspension .

This was quick but we can elaborate now

Kindly,

Brittany Massaro  
Compliance Director  
Humboldt Logistics  
707.672.4988

The information transmitted by this email is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed. This email may contain proprietary, business-confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, be aware that any use, review, re-transmission, distribution, reproduction or any action taken in reliance upon this message is strictly prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from all computers and devices.

On Mon, Sep 23, 2024 at 3:31 PM Slavey, Collin <[cslavey@co.humboldt.ca.us](mailto:cslavey@co.humboldt.ca.us)> wrote:  
| Maybe sooner, Brittany. I have to have the staff report to Laura by Thursday.