

Project No: 0493.00

Mr. John Zartarian Post Office Box 233 Weott, California 95571

## Subject: Hydrologic Isolation of Existing Well from Surface Waters, 407 Sunny Lane Weott, APN: 095-201-005, WCR2017-002379 (Legacy #e0347153)

To Whom It May Concern:

As requested, Lindberg Geologic Consulting has assessed an existing permitted well on the abovereferenced parcel to estimate its potential for hydrologic connectivity with any adjacent wetlands and or surface waters, and if pumping this well could affect surface waters in nearby water courses. Tributaries in the vicinity of this well drain to the South Fork Eel River (Figure 1).

A California-Certified Engineering Geologist visited this site on November 4, 2022, to observe the subject well and local site conditions. Based on our research, observations, and our professional experience, it is our opinion the subject well has a low likelihood of being hydrologically connected to nearby surface waters in any manner that could affect adjacent springs, wetlands and or surface waters in the vicinity.

We define the "vicinity" as the area within a 1,000-foot radius of the subject well, an area of approximately 72 acres. We understand that the applicant hopes to use water from this well for cannabis irrigation. At the time of our visit this well was not in use. We are not aware of the volume of water to be extracted or what the pumping schedule might be but expect that that information is provided elsewhere in the application.

Based on the Humboldt County WebGIS and the Assessor's Parcel Map (Figure 2), parcel 095-201-005 (Figure 2) encompasses approximately 35 acres. Our GPS coordinates located the subject well at latitude  $40.32921^{\circ}$  north, and longitude  $123.92135^{\circ}$  west ( $\pm 9^{\circ}$ ). This well is in Section 35, T1S, R2E, HB&M, and is 160 feet deep with the wellhead at an elevation of approximately 642 feet (Figure 1 and 2). Elevation of the static water level is therefore 552 feet, and the bottom of the well is 482 feet above sea level.

The Humboldt County WebGIS shows the nearest stream, an ephemeral tributary of South Fork Eel River, more than 1,500 feet south of the well. The next closest water course is the South Fork of the Eel River to the west more than 1,540 feet (Figure 1). As stated, based on interpolation from the USGS "Weott, Calif." (1969), topographic quadrangle map (Figure 1), and the Humboldt County WebGIS, the well site elevation is 642 feet. The elevation of the closest ephemeral watercourse to the south is approximately 300 feet, and the elevation of the South Fork Eel River to the west is 110 feet. The bottom elevation of the subject well is 482 feet, making the elevation

#### LINDBERG GEOLOGIC CONSULTING (707) 442-6000 John Zartarian, Well WCR2017-002379 Project No: 0493.00

Page 2

of the nearest unnamed watercourse to the south 182 feet lower than the total depth of the well. The South Fork Eel River to the west is 372 feet lower than the total depth of the subject well.

The subject well location is shown approximately on the attached figures. This well was drilled by 3D Drilling, of Rock Springs, Wyoming, in June of 2017, under County well permit #16/17-1/27. 3D Drilling is a licensed well-drilling contractor (C-57 #10015033). They submitted their well completion report (DWR 188) after June 27, 2017 (attached). The driller estimated a yield of 4 gpm in June 2017, based on a 4-hour air lift pump test. Total drawdown during the pump test (if any) was not noted on the driller's report.

Well -002379 is 160 feet deep. The borehole diameter is apparently 6-inches from grade to 160feet. From grade to 20-feet the driller reported installation of a 6-5/8-inch diameter blank (unslotted) Low Carbon Steel casing. A bentonite chip seal was reportedly installed from the surface to 130 feet. This makes the effective bottom of the well likely 130 feet below the ground surface (bgs). The well is apparently cased and sealed through any potential shallow subsurface aquifers. Depth to first water was reported at 60 feet below the surface. Depth to static water in the completed developed well was reported to be 90 feet bgs when the driller conducted the pump test on June 26, 2017, so the aquifer at 130 feet appears to be under some hydrostatic pressure.

From well-002379, the nearest spring is mapped in Section 1 (Figure 1), approximately 6,380 feet to the east-southeast, elevation 1,120-feet, near the head waters of Robinson Creek on Mail Ridge per the WebGIS. We found one additional spring in the 8 contiguous Sections. That spring is in the southern part of Section 11, more than 10,500 feet from the subject well at an elevation of 850 feet. Both springs are more than one mile from, and higher in elevation than the subject well.

This parcel is located within California's Coast Range Geomorphic Province, in the Coastal Belt of the Franciscan Complex (McLaughlin et at., 2000), a seismically active region in which large earthquakes are expected to occur during the economic life span (70 years) of any developments on the subject property. Geologic mapping by McLaughlin shows that the site is underlain by Yager Terrane of the Coastal Belt of the Franciscan Complex, as shown in Figure 4.

The topsoil is thin and rocky and is composed of loam, six inches thick, and underlain by 7 inches of silt loam. Gravelly silty clay loam, and gravelly clay loam soils underlain the silt loam to a depth of approximately 6 feet. Soils, based on our observations, are interpreted to be uniformly distributed across the well site on the subject parcel. In the areas explored, the soil profile appeared to consist of approximately 12-inches of topsoil. Beneath this thin topsoil, soils are gravelly loam to a depth of approximately 6-feet where they are underlain by lithologies associated with the Yager Terrane.

Materials reported on the geologic log of the driller's well completion report (attached) include 15 feet of "Brown Rock" above 10-feet of "Brown Silt" (15-feet to 25 feet). Beneath the brown silt lies 5-feet of "Brown Clay" (25 to 30-feet). Below the brown clay, the driller logged 5-feet (30 to 35-feet) of "Grey Shell". In the next 5-feet section (35- to 40-feet), the driller logged "Brown

#### LINDBERG GEOLOGIC CONSULTING (707) 442-6000 John Zartarian, Well WCR2017-002379 Project No: 0493.00

Page 3

Shel/rock" followed by 5-feet (40- 45-feet) of Clay/Brown Rock. Below the clay/brown rock is another 5 feet of "Grey Shell" (45- to 50-feet). Grey shell is underlain by 5-feet (50- to 55-feet) of Brown/Shell/Rock. In the next 5-feet (55- to 60-feet) the driller logged Brown/Shell/Rock again. In the next 40-feet (60- to 120-feet) "Grey Shell" was logged, followed by 15-feet (120- to 135-feet) of "White Rock". In the final 25-feet (135- to 160-feet) the driller logged "Grey/Black Shell).

We interpret the upper 135 feet of the profile in this well to be an aquitard, materials of lesser permeability and transmissivity. White rock and grey black shale are inferred to be more porous and permeable. The white rock, and the grey black shale below 135 feet appear to be the water-bearing aquifer materials tapped by this well. Fractured shale and white rock apparently have a higher transmissivity and permeability than would be typical of an unfractured shale interbedded with fine sandstone. At the location of the subject well, the elevation of the water-bearing aquifer unit is thus approximately 552 feet, based on the driller's report.

Below the surface soils, the earth materials encountered in the boring are Yager Terrane rocks of the Coastal Belt Franciscan Complex, as mapped by McLaughlin et al., (2000). Sheared, fractured, and folded metasedimentary rock materials can have variable hydraulic conductivity but can still constitute significant aquifers. We interpret the sequence of shale and clay described by the driller below 15 feet, as lithologies within the Yager Terrane (y1) of the Franciscan Complex. The white rock and grey black shale section of the bore, from 135 to 160 feet, apparently has a favorable hydraulic conductivity, making it, in our interpretation, the water bearing unit in this well.

A generalized geologic cross section of the area, modified from McLaughlin et al., (2000), shows the structural and stratigraphic relationships between the regional geologic units (Figure 5). In Section 27 to the northwest, the Yager Terrane is shown dipping northeast at 58°. On-site, no dip of the rock units could be observed because they are mantled with soil and colluvium and obscured by vegetation. We interpret the faults in the subsurface to be hydrologic boundaries of reduced permeability (due to grinding and shearing along the fault planes), effectively separating units of the Franciscan Complex from each other hydrologically and limiting groundwater flow between these fault-bound units.

Based on observations, review of pertinent and available information, and our experience, it is our professional opinion that this well has a low potential of having any direct or significant connection to proximal surface waters. First water was reportedly encountered at 60 feet, later dropping to 90 feet bgs. This well is sealed through the upper 130 feet of any potential unconfined, near-surface aquifers with which it might communicate hydraulically through the borehole. The bentonite-sealed surface casing isolates the well bore from surface and shallow subsurface water infiltration into the deeper water-bearing aquifers.

When considered with the stratigraphy and the underlying geologic structure, plus the distances (horizontal and vertically) from the nearest surface waters, and the depth of the producing zone of this well (>130 feet), as well as its position relative to the nearest adjacent ephemeral watercourses and surface waters in the vicinity, we conclude that the depth of the surface seal, combined with

#### LINDBERG GEOLOGIC CONSULTING (707) 442-6000 John Zartarian, Well WCR2017-002379 Project No: 0493.00

December 6, 2022

#### Page 4

the 130 feet of bentonite chips, are sufficient to preclude the potential for hydraulic connectivity with perennial surface waters, of which there are none closer than 1,540 feet in South Fork Eel River. The water source of this well draws appears to be a confined subsurface aquifer not connected to surface waters or unconfined, near-surface aquifer(s). This well appears likely to be hydraulically isolated from nearby wells, surface waters, springs, and wetlands.

The driller estimated the yield of this well to be 4 gallons per minute (gpm) on June 26, 2017. Total drawdown was not reported after 3D Drilling's four-hour air-lift pump test. At 4 gpm, this well would produce 5,760 gallons per day (potentially). As stated in the well completion report, this capacity (4 gpm) may not be representative of this well's long-term yield. Additional drawdown and recovery testing would be necessary to estimate a sustainable long-term yield of the site well, and that is beyond the scope of this report.

This subject well does not appear to be hydrologically connected to, or capable of influencing surface water flows in the South Fork Eel River. Nor does this well appear to be hydrologically connected to any local springs or ephemeral wetlands. Given the horizontal distances involved, and the elevation differences between the water-producing zone in the subject well (~552' – 482'), and the surface waters of the nearest watercourse (S.F.Eel ~120'), the potential for significant hydrologic connectivity between surface waters and groundwater in the deeper bedrock aquifers appears low. Further, given the apparently limiting condition of 130 feet of sealed borehole above the water-bearing unit, the aquifer is likely isolated from, and not significantly hydraulically connected to any other aquifer(s).

As mentioned, on the Weott USGS topographic quadrangle map, more than 6,380 feet southeast of the subject well at an elevation of approximately 1,120 feet, there is a spring mapped near the center of Section 1. The next nearest spring is in Section 11, over two miles south, across the South Fork Eel River at an elevation of approximately 350 feet. Between the subject well and the second closest spring, the South Fork Eel River flows at an elevation of approximately 120 feet. We found no other springs mapped in the eight contiguous sections surrounding the subject well in Section 35 on the Weott topographic quadrangle map.

We researched the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) database to determine if there were any wells within 1,000 feet of the subject well. Based on the information available at the present time there are no wells that meet that criterion.

There are multiple wells situated thousands of feet to the north and south of well-002379. As groundwater flow mimics topography and responds to the force of gravity in the shallow subsurface, in general it will move down slope in a direction subparallel to topography. At this well site, the ground surface slopes southwest toward the river, and the unconfined groundwater surface does approximately the same, flowing to the southwest, toward the axis of the South Fork. At the time of our visit, there was a pump installed in the subject well, but it was not in use.

# LINDBERG GEOLOGIC CONSULTING

December 6, 2022

#### (707) 442-6000 John Zartarian, Well WCR2017-002379 Project No: 0493.00

Page 5

In our professional opinion, it appears that the aquifer tapped by the subject well is recharged by water infiltrating through the soil from upslope source areas both proximal and distal to the well site. We speculate that most recharge occurs from the "flat" top of Mail Ridge, approximately 1,540 feet to the northeast, where elevations range from approximately 1,000 to 1,200 feet. Ephemeral streams around the well contribute recharge when they flow during runoff generating storm events.

The Natural Resources Conservation Service's (NRCS), online Web Soil Survey, shows the subject well within soils of the Redwoodhouse-Yagercreek-Mailridge complex, on slopes of 30 to 50 percent, (#513, Figure 7), which the NRCS describes as well-drained. The site is also classified as "Not prime Farmland".

The Web Soil Survey unit description is attached to this report. Mean annual precipitation for this site is listed by the NRCS in their unit description as 40 to 85 inches per year. Capacity of the most limiting soil layer to transmit water (Ksat) is described as moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr) with a depth to the water table of greater than 80 inches.

If, during the wet season, just ten percent of the "low end" 40 inches of precipitation is absorbed by the soils, recharging groundwater, then approximately 11.7 acre-feet, or 3.8 million gallons of water per year (MGPY), may be expected to recharge the local aquifer below this 35-acre subject property. Given that same 40-inches of precipitation, and the same 10 percent partitioned to groundwater recharge, then recharge can be estimated within the 1,000-foot vicinity-radius of the subject well. Recharge within the 72 acres enclosed by a circle having a 1,000-foot radius, would be 24 acre-feet, more than 7.8 MGPY. Our estimates are conservative; United States Geological Survey (USGS) researchers estimate that in northwest California, approximately 33 percent of precipitation goes to recharge. (Flint, et al., 2013). Modelling the 72-acre circle surrounding this well with 33 percent of precipitation to recharge results in 26 MGPY.

On March 28, 2022, Governor Newsom issued an executive order (N-7-22) relating to the ongoing drought in California. In the executive order measures the state will undertake to avoid and ameliorate the negative impacts of the current drought are outlined. Among these measures, counties, cities, and other public agencies have been prohibited from approving permits for new groundwater wells (or alteration of existing wells) in basins "subject to the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act and classified as medium- or high-priority without first obtaining written verification from a Groundwater Sustainability Agency managing the basin or area of the basin where the well is proposed". The subject well is not within a basin subject to the Act. There is not yet a Groundwater Sustainability Agency established with authority over this permitted well.

Order N-7-22 further states that counties, cities, and other public agencies are prohibited from issuing permits for well construction or alteration "without first determining that extraction of groundwater from the proposed well is (1) not likely to interfere with the production and functioning of existing nearby wells, and (2) not likely to cause subsidence that would adversely impact or damage nearby infrastructure". Note that this Order is not applicable to "wells that

#### LINDBERG GEOLOGIC CONSULTING (707) 442-6000 John Zartarian, Well WCR2017-002379 Project No: 0493.00

Page 6

provide less than two acre-feet per year (650,000+ gallons) of groundwater for individual domestic users, or that will exclusively provide groundwater to public water supply systems."

Therefore, based on our observations, research, and professional experience, it is our professional opinion that well WCR2017-002379 (Legacy #e0347153), on APN 095-201-005, at 407 Sunny Lane, Weott, has a low likelihood of being hydrologically connected to nearby surface waters or wells in any manner that might significantly impact or affect adjacent wetlands, wells, and or surface waters in the vicinity.

Please contact us if you have questions or concerns regarding our findings and conclusions.

Sincerely,

David N. Lindberg, CEG Lindberg Geologic Consulting

DNL:sll

Attachments:

Figure 1:	Topographic Well Location Map
Figure 2:	Humboldt County Assessor's Parcel Map
Figure 3:	Satellite Image of Well location
Figure 4:	Geologic Map
Figure 4a:	Geologic Map Explanation
Figure 5:	Generalized Geologic Cross Section
Figure 6:	Hydrogeologic Cross Section
Figure 7:	USDA-NRCS Soils Map

<u>State of California Well Completion Report</u>: WCR2017-002379, APN: 095-201-005 (Subject Well)

Web Soil Survey, NRCS Map Unit Description:

Redwoodhouse-Yagercreek-Mailridge complex, #513, 30 to 50 percent slopes.

Reference:

Flint et al.: Fine-scale hydrologic modeling for regional landscape applications: the California Basin Characterization Model development and performance. Ecological Process, 2013, 2:25. (doi:10.1186/2192-1709-2-25)

Lindberg Geologic Consulting	Engineering-Geologic Well Connectivity Assessment Report	Figure 1
Post Office Box 306	407 North Sunny Lane, Weott, California, APN 095-201-005	December 6, 2022
Cutten, CA 95534	Well WCR2017-002379, Mr. John Zartarian, Client	Project 0493.00
(707) 442-6000	Topographic Well Location Map (locations approximate)	1" ≈ 2,400'





Lindberg Geologic Consulting	Engineering-Geologic Well Connectivity Assessment Report	Figure 3
Post Office Box 306	407 North Sunny Lane, Weott, California, APN 095-201-005	December 6, 2022
Cutten, CA 95534	Well WCR2017-002379, Mr. John Zartarian, Client	Project 0493.00
(707) 442-6000	Satellite Image of Well Location (locations approximate)	1" ≈ 600'



Lindberg Geologic Consulting	Engineering-Geologic Well Connectivity Assessment Report	Figure 4
Post Office Box 306	407 North Sunny Lane, Weott, California, APN 095-201-005	December 6, 2022
Cutten, CA 95534	Well WCR2017-002379, Mr. John Zartarian, Client	Project 0493.00
(707) 442-6000	Geologic Map (locations approximate)	1" ≈ 4,200'



Lindberg Geologic Consulting	erg Geologic Consulting Engineering-Geologic R-2 Soils Report						
P. O. Box 306	407 North S	407 North Sunny Lane, Weott, California, APN 095-201-005					
Cutten, CA 95534	Well W	Well WCR2017-002379. Mr. John Zartarian. Client					
(707) 442-6000		Geologic Map Explanation	,		No Scale		
DESCRIPTION OF MAP UNITS         GREAT VALLEY SEQUENCE OVERLAP ASSEMBLAGE							
QUATERNARY AND TERTIARY OVER	LAP DEPOSITS			Factorn Haufork cubtorr	Hayfork terrane		
Qal Alluvial deposits (Holocene and late Pleistocene	?) CC	Chert (Late Cretaceous to Early Jurassic)	1-	Melange and broken for	rmation		
Qm Undeformed marine shoreline and aolian depo (Holocene and late Pleistocene)	its bs	Basaltic rocks (Cretaceous and Jurassic)	en	(early? Middle Jurassic)			
Ot Undifferentiated nonmarine terrace deposits	m	Undivided blueschist blocks (Jurassic?)	ehls	Limestone			
(Holocene and Pleistocene)	gs	Greenstone	ehsp	Serpentinite			
QIS Calloside deposits (noice in and Piets ocene)	vb	Metasandstone of Yolla Bolly terrane undivided		Western Hayfork subter	rane:		
Marine and nonmarine overlap deposits	b	Melange block, lithology unknown	whu	(Middle Jurassic)	esite of frwin (1985), undivided		
QIW (late Pleistocene to middle Miocene)		Eastern Belt	whwg	Wildwood (Chanchelull pluton (Middle Jurassic)	a Peak of Wright and Fahan, 1988)		
Ti Volcanic rocks of Fickle Hill (Oligocene)		Pickett Peak terrane (Early Cretaceous or older)	whwp	Clinopyroxenite			
COAST RANGES PROVIN FRANCISCAN COMPLEX	CE	Metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks of the Pickett Peak terrane (Early Cretaceous or older):	whji	Diorite and gabbro plut	ons (Middle? Jurassic)		
Coastal Belt	ppsm	South Fork Mountain Schist		Ro	attlesnake Creek terrane		
Coastal terrane(Pliocene to Late Cre	aceous) mb	Chinquapin Metabasalt Member (Irwin and others, 1974)	rcm	Melange (Jurassic and o	lder)		
Sedimentary, igneous, and metamorphic rocks (	f the ppv	Valentine Springs Formation	rcls	Limestone			
col Melange	mv	Metabasalt and minor metachert	rcc	Kadiolarian chert	or Triperic)		
co2 Melange		Yolla Bolly terrane (Early Cretaceous to Middle Jurassic?)	rcic	Intrusive complex (Farly	( Jurassic)		
co3 Broken sandstone and argillite		Metasedimentary and metaigneous rocks of the Yolla Bolly terrane (Early Cretaceous to Middle Jurassic?):	rcp	Plutonic rocks (Early Jur	assic or Late Triassic)		
co4 Intact sandstone and argillite	ybt	Taliaferro Metamorphic Complex of Suppe and Armstrong (1972)	rcum	Ultramafic rocks (age ur	ncertain)		
cob Basaltic Rocks (Late Cretaceous)		(Earry Cretaceous to Middle Jurassic?)	rcpd	Blocky peridotite			
cols Limestone (Late Cretaceous)	ybc	(Early Cretaceous to Middle Jurassic)		И	lestern Klamath terrane		
m Undivided blueschist (Jurassic?)	gs	Greenstone		Smith River subterrane:			
King Range terrane (Miocene to Late C	<u>etaceous)</u>	Metachert	srs	Galice? formation (Late	Jurassic)		
Krp Igneous and sedimentary rocks of Point Delgad	a (Late Cretaceous) ybh	Metagraywacke of Hammerhorn Ridge (Late Jurassic to Middle Jurassic)	srv	Pyroclastic andesite			
Undivided blueschist blocks (Jurassic?)	с	Metachert	srgb	Glen Creek gabbro-ultra and others (1974)	amafic complex of Irwin		
(middle Miocene to Paleocene[?]):	gs	Greenstone	srpd	Serpentinized peridotite	2		
krk1 Melange and (or) folded argillite	sp	Serpentinite			MAP SYMBOLS		
krk2 Highly folded broken formation	ybd	Devils Hole Ridge broken formation of Blake and Jayko (1983) (Early Cretaceous to Middle Jurassic)		Contact			
krk3 Highly folded, largely unbroken rocks	c	Radiolarian chert	?	Fault			
krc Chert	ybi	Little Indian Valley argillite of McLaughlin and Ohlin (1984) (Farly Cretaceous to Late Jurassic)	<b></b>	Thrust fault			
krb Basalt		Yolla Bolly terrane	?	Trace of the San Andrea with 1906 earthquake n	s fault associated		
False Cape terrane (Miocene? to Olic	ocene?) yb	Rocks of the Yolla Bolly terrane, undivided		Strike and dip of beddir	iq:		
fc Sedimentary rocks of the False Cape terrane		GREAT VALLEY SECHENCE AND COAST DANCE ODUIOLITE	10/ 20/	Inclined			
Yaaer terrane (Eocene to Paleoce	ne?)	Elder Creek(?) terrane	× ×	Vertical			
Sedimentary rocks of the Yager terrane (Eocene	to Paleocene?): ecms	Mudstone (Early Cretaceous)	$\oplus$	Horizontal			
y1 Sheared and highly folded mudstone		Coast Range ophiolite (Middle and Late Jurassic):	<sup>10</sup> ⁄ <sub>×</sub> <sup>20</sup> ⁄ <sub>×</sub>	Overturned			
y2 Highly folded broken mudstone, sandstone,	ecg	Layered gabbro	20	Approximate			
Highly folded, little-broken sandstone,	ecsp	Serpentinite melange	10	Joint Strike and dip of cleava	70		
y3 conglomerate, and mudstone		Del Puerto(?) terrane	/	Shear foliation:	je		
Ycgl Conglomerate		Rocks of the Del Puerto(?) terrane:	10	Inclined			
Central belt	dpms	Mudstone (Late Jurassic)	1	Vertical			
Melange of the Central Delt (early fertiary to Ca	dpt	Tuffaceous chert (Late Jurassic)		Folds:			
(Late Cretaceous to Late Jurassic):	dpb	Basaltic flows and keratophyric tuff (Jurassic?)	←+	Synclinal or synformal a	xis		
cm1 Melange	dpd	Diabase (Jurassic?)	$\xleftarrow \ddagger$	Anticlinal or antiformal	axis		
cm2 Melange	dpsp	Serpentinite melange (Jurassic?)	_U	Overturned syncline			
cb1 Broken formation	sp	Undivided Serpentinized peridotite (Jurassic?)	Qls	Landslide			
White Bock metasandstone of Javko and others	(1989)	KLAMATH MOUNTAINS PROVINCE	^	Melange Blocks:			
(Paleogene and [or] Late Cretaceous)		Undivided Great Valley Sequence:		Chert			
chr Haman Ridge graywacke of Jayko and others (1	989) (Cretaceous?)	Sedimentary rocks (Lower Cretaceous)	$\diamond$	Blueschist			
cfs Fort Seward metasandstone (age unknown)			õ	Greenstone			
Cis Limestone (Late to Early Cretaceous)			<b>O</b> <sup>10</sup>	Fossil locality and numb	er		
GEOLOGY OF THE CAPE	MENDOCINO, EURE	KA, GARBERVILLE, AND SOUTHWI	ESTERN	PART OF THI	E HAYFORK		

30 X 60 MINUTE QUADRANGLES AND ADJACENT OFFSHORE AREA, NORTHERN CALIFORNIA (McLaughlin et al., 2000)





Lindberg Geologic Consulting	Engineering-Geologic Well Connectivity Assessment Report	Figure 7
Post Office Box 306	407 North Sunny Lane, Weott, California, APN 095-201-005	December 6, 2022
Cutten, CA 95534	Well WCR2017-002379, Mr. John Zartarian, Client	Project 0493.00
(707) 442-6000	USDA – NRCS Soil Map (locations approximate)	Scale Not Determined



Modified from: USGS-NRCS Web Soil Survey, December 6, 2022  $N\approx \Theta$ 

*The free	Adobe Read	er may be used to view a	ind complete this fo	orm. However, so	oftware mu	st be purchase	ed to compl	ete, save, and reuse a savec	form.
File Orig	inal with DV	/r JUL	0 3 2017	State	e of Calife	ornia		DWR Use C	only – Do Not Fill In
Page 1		of 1		Well Con	pletic	on Repo	rt	0   5  0	28-35
Owner's	Well Numb	er		No. )	(XXXXXXXX	P1)247	153	State Well N	umber/Site Number
Date Wo	ork Began_0	6/17/17	Date Work	Ended 06/27/	/17	0071		Latitude	Longitude
Local Pe	ermit Agency	Humboldt County	Division of En	vironmental H	lealth				
Permit N	lumber <u>16/</u>	17-1/27	Permit Date 0	5/15/17		_	L	AFN	TRS/Other
Ori	antation (	Geolog	ic Log	ale Specify				Well Owner	1
Drilling	Method	o ventical O nona	Drilli	ng Fluid					
Depth	from Surfa	ICO	Descripti	on					
0	15 to Feet	BROWN ROCI	k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k	size, color, etc				Well Locatio	n
15	25	BROWN SILT				Address	407 N 5	SUNNYLANE	
25	30	BROWN CLAY				City WE	OTT		ounty Humboldt
30	35	GREY SHELL					.011		bully <u>hansonal</u>
35	40	BROWN SHEL	/ROCK			Latitude	Deq.	Min. Sec. N LONG	Deg. Min. Sec.
40	140		ROCK			Datum_		Dec. Lat.	Dec. Long.
40	50		ROOK			APN Boo	ok 095	Page 201	Parcel 005
40	50		LIPOCK			Townshin	2	Range	Section
50	00	BROWN SHEL					Locat	ion Sketch	Activity
00	100		LINUUN			(Sketch m	nust be drawn	by hand after form is printed.)	New Well
00	120	GREY SHELL				-	2	North	O Modification/Repair
120	135	WHITE ROCK						VIII A	O Deepen
135	160	GREY/BLACK	SHELL					· )	O Destroy
								2	Describe procedures and materials
								N.M.	Planned Lises
							3	~ (	O Wates Supply
							1	<i>م</i>	
						est	10	ast	
						Š	CA CA	Ű Ű	
					ALC:		-1	7	O Dewatering
									O Heat Exchange
				and the					O Injection
								/	O Monitoring
						1			O Remediation
								$\sim$	O Sparging
								South	O Test Well
						Illustrate or des	scribe distance c	f well from roads, buildings, fences,	O Vapor Extraction
				с., <i>Р</i>		rivers, etc. and Please be acc	attach a map. I urate and comp	Jse additional paper if necessary. blete.	O Other
						Water Lo	evel and	Yield of Completed	Well
						Depth to	first water	60'	(Feet below surface)
				and the second second		Depth to	Static		
						Water Le	vel 90'	(Feet) Date	Measured 06/26/17
Total D	epth of Bori	ng <u>160</u>		Feet		Estimate	d Yield *	4 (GPM) Test	I ype Air Lin (Free)
Total D	epth of Con	pleted Well 160	1	Feet		Test Leng	gth <u>4.0</u>	(Hours) Tota	II Drawdown (Feet)
			Oralians			I Iviay not	be repres	Annue of a well's long t	lor Metorial
Dentl	h from B	orehole -	Casings	Wall (	Outside	Screen	Slot Size	Depth from	
Sur	face D	ameter Type	Material	Thickness D	liameter	Туре	if Any	Surface F	ill Description
Feet	to Feet (	ncnes)	ow Carbon Stacl	(inches) (	5/8"		(inches)	130 Bentoni	Bentonite Chins
	20 0		ow calbon Steel		0.0				
	A	tachments				C	ertificati	on Statement	
	Geologic Lo	g	I, the	undersigned, o	certify that	t this report i	is complet	e and accurate to the bes	st of my knowledge and belief
	Well Constr	uction Diagram	Nam	Person, Firm	n or Corpora	ition		A	10/ 00000
	Geophysica	Log(s)	P.0	D. Box 1285	droop	0	Rock	Springs V	VY 82902 Titate Zin
	Other	memical Analyses	Sign	ed	LA T	will	in	Oity 5	10015033
Attach add	litional information	on, if it exists.		C-57 Licens	ed Water W	ell Contractor		Date Signed	C-57 License Number
ADDITION OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PAR	Column Destate the state and a state of the	and the second	Statement of the statement of the statement of the	NOT THE OWNER OF STREET, STREE	out the lot of the lot	And the second second second second	CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION	Contraction of the second s	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT

IF ADDITIONAL SPACE IS NEEDED, USE NEXT CONSECUTIVELY NUMBERED FORM

# Humboldt County, South Part, California

# 513—Redwoodhouse-Yagercreek-Mailridge complex, 30 to 50 percent slopes

## **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: vykb Elevation: 200 to 3,770 feet Mean annual precipitation: 40 to 85 inches Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 240 to 300 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

## **Map Unit Composition**

Redwoodhouse and similar soils: 50 percent Yagercreek and similar soils: 30 percent Mailridge and similar soils: 15 percent Minor components: 5 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### Description of Redwoodhouse

#### Setting

Landform: Mountain slopes Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainflank Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Colluvium and residuum derived from interbedded sandstone and mudstone

#### Typical profile

A - 0 to 6 inches: loam ABt - 6 to 13 inches: silt loam Bt1 - 13 to 24 inches: gravelly silty clay loam Bt2 - 24 to 37 inches: gravelly silty clay loam Bt3 - 37 to 47 inches: gravelly silty clay loam Bt4 - 47 to 71 inches: gravelly clay loam

## **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 30 to 50 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Well drained Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)

USDA

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 6.5 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: F004BI104CA - Fog-influenced, upper elevation mountain slopes Hydric soil rating: No

#### Description of Yagercreek

#### Setting

Landform: Mountain slopes Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainflank Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Colluvium and residuum derived from interbedded sandstone and mudstone

#### **Typical profile**

*Oi - 0 to 1 inches:* slightly decomposed plant material

- A 1 to 9 inches: gravelly loam
- *Bt1 9 to 21 inches:* very gravelly clay loam
- Bt2 21 to 35 inches: extremely gravelly clay loam
- Bt3 35 to 71 inches: extremely cobbly sandy clay loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 30 to 50 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.20 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.6 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: F004BI104CA - Fog-influenced, upper elevation mountain slopes Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Description of Mailridge**

#### Setting

Landform: Mountain slopes

Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainflank Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Colluvium and residuum derived from interbedded sandstone and mudstone

#### **Typical profile**

A - 0 to 7 inches: gravelly loam Bt1 - 7 to 14 inches: gravelly clay loam Bt2 - 14 to 47 inches: very gravelly clay loam C - 47 to 61 inches: extremely cobbly sandy loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 30 to 50 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Well drained Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.20 to 2.00 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm) Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 5.5 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: F004BI104CA - Fog-influenced, upper elevation mountain slopes Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Mountbaldy

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Ridges Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountaintop Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Rock outcrop**

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Mountain slopes Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Center third of mountainflank Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex

JSDA

Hydric soil rating: No

# **Data Source Information**

Soil Survey Area: Humboldt County, South Part, California Survey Area Data: Version 12, Sep 2, 2022