HUMBOLDT COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS ROAD EVALUATION REPORT

PART A:	Part A may be completed by the applicant
Applicant Na	ame: Maia Tech, LLC APN: 524-114-011
Planning & Building Department Case/File No.: #15#13 10 7	
Road Name	: South Fork Rd. (Paved) (complete a separate form for each road)
From Road (Cross street): Highway 299.	
To Road (Ca	ross street): Location driveway
Length of road segment: 5.7 miles Date Inspected: M/18/18	
Road is maintained by: X County Dother Trinity County	
(State, Forest Service, National Park, State Park, BLM, Private, Tribal, etc) Check one of the following:	
Box 1	The entire road segment is developed to Category 4 road standards (20 feet wide) or better. If checked, then the road is adequate for the proposed use without further review by the applicant.
Box 2 📈	The entire road segment is developed to the equivalent of a road category 4 standard. If checked, then the road is adequate for the proposed use without further review by the applicant.
ĸ	An equivalent road category 4 standard is defined as a roadway that is generally 20 feet in width, but has pinch points which narrow the road. Pinch points include, but are not limited to, one-lane bridges, trees, large rock outcroppings, culverts, etc. Pinch points must provide visibility where a driver can see oncoming vehicles through the pinch point which allows the oncoming vehicle to stop and wait in a 20 foot wide section of the road for the other vehicle to pass.
Box 3	The entire road segment is not developed to the equivalent of road category 4 or better. The road may or may not be able to accommodate the proposed use and further evaluation is necessary. Part B is to be completed by a Civil Engineer licensed by the State of California.
The statements	s in PART A are true and correct and have been made by me after personally inspecting and
measuring the	0
Signature /	11/21/18 Date
1/	Kai Fermara.
Name Printed	
Important: Read the instructions before using this form. If you have questions, please call the Dept. of Public Works Land Use Division at 707,445,7205.	

PART B: Only complete Part B if Box 3 is checked in Part A. Part B is to be completed by a Civil Engineer licensed by the State of California. Complete a separate form for each road. Date Inspected: Road Name: Planning & Building (Post Mile _____) From Road: Department Case/File No.: (Post Mile To Road: 1. What is the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) of the road (including other known cannabis projects)? Number of other known cannabis projects included in ADT calculations: (Contact the Planning & Building Department for information on other nearby projects.) Date(s) measured: ADT: Method used to measure ADT: Counters Estimated using ITE Trip Generation Book Is the ADT of the road less than 400? Tyes No If YES, then the road is considered very low volume and shall comply with the design standards outlined in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads (ADT ≤400). Complete sections 2 and 3 below. If NO, then the road shall be reviewed per the applicable policies for the design of local roads and streets presented in AASHTO A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, commonly known as the "Green Book". Complete section 3 below. 2. Identify site specific safety problems with the road that include, but are not limited to: (Refer to Chapter 3 in AASHTO Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads (ADT ≤400) for guidance.) A. Pattern of curve related crashes. Yes, see attached sheet for Post Mile (PM) locations. Check one: No. B. Physical evidence of curve problems such as skid marks, scarred trees, or scarred utility poles Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations. Check one: No. C. Substantial edge rutting or encroachment. Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations. Check one: No. D. History of complaints from residents or law enforcement. Yes (check if written documentation is attached) Check one: No. E. Measured or known speed substantially higher than the design speed of the road (20+ MPH higher) Check one: No. Yes. F. Need for turn-outs. Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations. Check one: No.

address increased traffic.

A map showing the location and limits of the road being evaluated in PART B is attached. The statements in PART B are true and correct and have been made by me after personally evaluating the road.

3. Conclusions/Recommendations per AASHTO. Check one:

Neighborhood Traffic Management Plan is also required and is attached.)

Signature of Civil Engineer

Date

BEALD

The roadway can accommodate the cumulative increased traffic from this project and all known

The roadway can accommodate the cumulative increased traffic from this project and all known

The roadway cannot accommodate increased traffic from the proposed use. It is not possible to

cannabis projects identified above, if the recommendations on the attached report are done. (check if a

Important: Read the instructions before using this form. If you have questions, please call the Dept, of Public Works Land Use Division at 707,445,7205.

cannabis projects identified above.

Access Road Evidence Maia Tech, LLC

Humboldt APN: 524-114-011

Application #: 13107

Photo of South Fork Road, Sayler, California

South Fork Road is a paved county road maintained by Trinity County.






Photo of driveway entrance from South Fork Road

